

31. August 2023

Transparenz bei der Bewertung ökologischer oder sozialer Merkmale und bei nachhaltigen Investitionen

Dieses Dokument enthält Informationen in Bezug auf ökologische und soziale Merkmale von Finanzprodukten sowie Informationen in Bezug auf nachhaltige Investitionen im Einklang mit Artikel 10 der Verordnung über nachhaltigkeitsbezogene Offenlegungspflichten im Finanzdienstleistungssektor (Offenlegungsverordnung, SFDR)¹.

Name des Produkts: Nordea 1 – Global Social Empowerment Fund

Unternehmenskennung (LEI-Code): 549300DN1QTCPEQWW22

Zusammenfassung

Dieser Fonds strebt nachhaltige Investitionen an und legt einen Mindestanteil in nachhaltigen Investitionen an. Über ein Screening wird sichergestellt, dass diese Investitionen keine ökologischen oder sozialen Investitionsziele erheblich beeinträchtigen. Die Vermögensallokation des Fonds wird in Form eines Diagramms dargestellt, in dem der Mindestanteil an ökologisch und/oder sozial nachhaltigen Investitionen des Fonds angegeben ist. Zur Messung der Erreichung des nachhaltigen Investitionsziels des Fonds werden spezifische Nachhaltigkeitsindikatoren im Einklang mit den unten beschriebenen Methoden herangezogen. Interne Limit-Überwachungssysteme führen vor und nach Transaktionen Screenings der Positionen des Fonds durch.

Es werden interne und externe Datenquellen und Datenanbieter genutzt, um sicherzustellen, dass die Investitionen des Fonds im Einklang mit dem nachhaltigen Investitionsziel stehen. Daten externer Anbieter können durch interne Auswertungen und Analysen ergänzt werden. Wir sind bestrebt, Beschränkungen hinsichtlich der Datenquellen durch solide Due-Diligence-Verfahren in Bezug auf externe Datenanbieter und manuelle Überprüfungen auszugleichen. Wir bringen uns im Namen unserer Kunden durch verschiedene Maßnahmen ein, um bessere ESG-Praktiken zu fördern. Der Fonds verwendet eine Benchmark, die nicht auf das nachhaltige Investitionsziel abgestimmt ist.

Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes

No

- It will make a minimum of **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:**
_%
 - in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
 - in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
- It will make a minimum of **sustainable investments with a social objective: 85%**

- It **promotes Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of _% of sustainable investments
 - with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
 - with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
 - with a social objective
- It promotes E/S characteristics, but **will not make any sustainable investments**

How do sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

This fund has as its objective sustainable investment and commit to making a minimum percentage of sustainable investments, as illustrated above.

As part of the process to identify sustainable investments, companies are screened to ensure that they do not significantly harm (DNSH test) any other social or environmental objectives. The DNSH test uses PAI indicators, as described below, to identify and exclude companies that do not pass the thresholds.

How have the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors been taken into account?

The DNSH test, as part of the methodology to identify sustainable investments, identifies negative outliers and poor performance related to PAI indicators. The investment manager will consider the PAI indicators from Table 1, annex 1 in the SFDR RTS. Currently data is mainly available for the use of the below indicators. As data quality and availability evolves, additional indicators will be included.

Climate and other environment related indicators:

- Greenhouse Gas emissions
- Biodiversity impact
- Emissions to water
- Hazardous waste

Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters:

- Violations of the UNGC and OECD principles
- Board gender diversity

- Exposure to controversial weapons
- Severe human rights issues and incidents

Companies that do not pass the thresholds that are defined by the investment manager, will not qualify as a sustainable investment. This includes companies that are involved in severe human rights incidents, severe controversies related to biodiversity or violations of the UNGC and OECD principles.

Companies also fail the DNSH test if they are among the worst performers on emissions to water, hazardous waste or Greenhouse Gas emissions. In addition, companies that derive more than 0% of revenue from unconventional fossil fuel fail the DNSH test, and companies that derive more than 5% from conventional fossil fuel or more than 50% from services specific to the fossil fuel industry will only pass the DNSH test if they are below the climate related exclusions criteria of the EU Paris Aligned Benchmark with revenue thresholds of 1% for coal, 10% for oil, 50% for natural gas and 50% for fossil fuel based electricity generation, and have a climate transition plan. Our Paris Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy describes the criteria used to identify companies with credible transition plans.

Additional exclusions to further limit negative externalities are applied to the investment universe of the fund, to avoid investment in companies that are involved in thermal coal or production of fossil fuels from oil sands and arctic drilling, as well as controversial weapons, and pornography.

The data on PAI indicators needed for the DNSH test is sourced from third party data providers.

How are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

Alignment of the sustainable investments with the OECD guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights is confirmed as part of the process to identify sustainable investments using the Violations of the UNGC and OECD principles indicator.

Sustainable investment objective of the financial product

The sustainable investment objective of the fund is to support the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development adopted by the UN, with a focus on socio-economic challenges, by investing in companies that contribute to one or more of the following UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):

- SDG 1: No Poverty
- SDG 2: Zero Hunger
- SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being
- SDG 4: Quality Education
- SDG 5: Gender Equality
- SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation
- SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth
- SDG 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure
- SDG 10: Reduced Inequality
- SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities
- SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production
- SDG 16: Peace and Justice Strong Institutions
- SDG 17: Partnerships to achieve the Goal

The UN SDGs are a set of 17 Sustainable Development Goals adopted by the United Nations in 2015 as a call for action to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure peace and prosperity by 2030.

The benchmark used by the fund has not been designated as a reference benchmark for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objective of the fund.

More information can be found below in the Methodologies section.

Investment strategy

Description of the investment strategy used to attain the sustainable investment objective

A fundamental bottom-up research process identifies companies that derive significant future cashflows from their contribution to social solutions like vital needs, inclusion and empowerment. Investee companies are analysed and selected at the investment manager's discretion.

As part of the investment strategy, companies and issuers are excluded from the fund's investment universe if they are involved in certain activities that are deemed to be harmful to the environment or the society at large. Investments are also screened for adherence to OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles. It is controlled on an ongoing basis that the fund's investments are aligned with the applicable exclusions.

As part of the investment process, investee companies are screened to ensure that they follow good governance practices.

On behalf of its clients, NAM undertakes a range of engagement activities with investee companies and corporate- and sovereign issuers in order to encourage them to improve their ESG practices, and promote a long-term approach to decision-making.

Exclusions

Companies that are materially involved in the below activities are excluded from the investment universe of the fund. Companies' involvement is measured as the proportion of revenues deriving from the excluded activities. Different thresholds apply for determining the level of involvement that will result in an exclusion of a company from the investment universe. The list shows an aggregation of exclusions that result from NAM's general RI Policy and the additional exclusions that are added to the specific investment strategy.

- Pornography
- Alcohol
- Conventional weapons
- Coal
- Gambling
- Gas
- Nuclear weapons
- Oil
- Arctic drilling and oil sand
- Tobacco
- Controversial weapons

Description of the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies

Good governance practices of investee companies are addressed in various layers of the security selection process of direct investments. Companies are screened for good governance by assessing, among other elements, their employee relations, pay practices, management structures and tax compliance. In respect of sovereign issuers, the assessment of good governance practices is based on three pillars: 1) The principles of governance (democratic governance), 2) Execution of governance and 3) Efficiency of governance.

Proportion of investments

The fund commits to minimum proportion of investments as illustrated below. Where no percentage proportion or 0% is displayed, such investments may be relevant for the strategy but the fund is not committed to holding a specific proportion at all times, and the proportion of such investments may be as low as 0% at the investment manager's discretion.



#1 Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives.

#2 Not sustainable includes investments which do not qualify as sustainable investments.

*Investments refer to the fund's NAV which is the total market value of the fund.

Monitoring of sustainable investment objective

Description of how the sustainable investment objective and the sustainability indicators used to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective are monitored throughout the lifecycle of the financial product and the related internal or external control mechanisms.

The following sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective of the fund:

- **Contribution to SDG 1 - No poverty:** SDG 1 is to eradicate extreme poverty for all people everywhere by 2030.
- **Contribution to SDG 2 - Zero Hunger:** SDG 2 is to end hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture.
- **Contribution to SDG 3 - Good Health and Well-being:** SDG 3 is to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages.
- **Contribution to SDG 4 - Quality Education:** SDG 4 is to provide quality education for all and to create a peaceful and prosperous world.
- **Contribution to SDG 5 - Gender Equality:** Indicators for Gender Equality are used to measure whether a board include a strong presence of independent non-executive members and is diversified in terms of gender, experience, age and other factors.
- **Contribution to SDG 6 - Clean Water and Sanitation:** SDG 6 is to ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all.
- **Contribution to SDG 8 - Decent Work and Economic Growth:** SDG 8 is to promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all.
- **Contribution to SDG 9 - Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure:** SDG 9 is to build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation.
- **Contribution to SDG 10 - Reduced Inequality:** SDG 10 is to reduce inequalities and ensure no one is left behind.
- **Contribution to SDG 11 - Sustainable Cities and Communities:** SDG 11 is to make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.
- **Contribution to SDG 12 - Responsible Consumption and Production:** SDG 12 is to ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns.
- **Contribution to SDG 16 - Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions:** SDG 16 is to promote peaceful and inclusive societies, providing access to justice for all and building effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels.
- **Contribution to SDG 17 - Partnerships for the Goals:** SDG 17 is to revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development.

Sector- and value-based exclusions and other binding restrictions are monitored in the limit system which is run internally by the Risk Management Limit Team (the "Limit Team"). When we decide to limit exposure to certain sectors or activities, we build those into our internal limit monitoring system that runs both pre- and daily post-trade screenings for our holdings. Pre-trade limits block the unfit investment opportunities from our portfolio management tool, and post-trade limits flag any exposure or activity that is not aligned with our investment guidelines. Depending on the E/S characteristic that the incident concerns, and depending on the type of exposure, certain specific action, such as divesting, may be taken.

Methodologies

What are the methodologies used to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective and how are the sustainability indicators to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective used?

The methodologies that apply to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective of the fund, are set out here below.

Minimum proportion of sustainable investments

Sustainable investment is defined under the SFDR as an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

We take into consideration the regulatory framework for sustainable finance, as well as proprietary investment methodologies, to ensure that sustainable investments can be identified, invested in and measured.

In order to select sustainable investments, we apply three tests. The investment should pass all three tests to qualify as a sustainable investment.

- **Step 1:** Good governance test – a company needs to have sufficient processes in place related to the four good governance topics specified by the SFDR: sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance, and must not be subject to significant controversies related to any of these topics
- **Step 2:** Do no significant harm test – a company or an issuer cannot perform negatively on selected principal adverse impact (" **PAI**") indicators. Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and antibribery matters. The thresholds defining negative outliers and poor performance vary for each PAI indicator
- **Step 3:** Environmental or Social Contribution – NAM's proprietary process applies a pass/fail criteria on contribution to one or more of the UN SDGs or one or more of the environmental objectives in the EU Taxonomy, with a 20% threshold. Contribution may be measured on the proportion of revenue that can be linked to the above objectives. For sectors where capital expenditure or operating expenses or other relevant activity measures are more relevant, these will be used. Bonds that are issued under ICMA or equivalent frameworks (labelled bonds) are considered sustainable if their adherence under the relevant standard has been verified by an approved external auditor.

Sector- and value-based exclusions

Exclusions aim at limiting the investment exposure to certain sectors or activities that may be considered to be damaging for the environment and/or the society at large. Sector screenings assess a company's involvement in a specific activity measured by the revenue derived from this activity. Sector exclusions are the result of screenings based on the data and methodology of our selected data vendors.

NAM Paris Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy

NAM's Paris-Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy (" **PAFF**"), has been developed with the Paris agreement in mind. The Paris agreement is a legally binding international treaty on climate change. Its goal is to limit global warming, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius, compared to pre-industrial levels. The NAM PAFF Policy sets thresholds for companies' exposure to fossil fuel production, distribution and services, which are implemented and monitored as specific exclusions in our limit system. However, investments may be allowed in companies that help accelerate the transition to clean energy and phase out of fossil fuel. The NAM PAFF policy can be found [here](#) .

Data sources and processing

a) What are the data sources used to attain the sustainable investment objective of the financial product?

We use a range of different internal and external data sources and data providers to ensure that the fund is invested in accordance with the sustainable investment objective of the fund. Data received from external providers may be complemented by internal research and analysis provided by our Investment Teams and the Responsible Investment team.

b) What are the measures taken to ensure data quality?

We apply various measures to control the quality of the external and internal data sets used. This may include internal control measures commonly used in computer programming such as:

- Quality assurance test whereby controls are made to check that the external data values are in the expected range and behave as intended
- Unit testing whereby individual data and functions created to generate insights or transform said data are tested to determine if they are fit for use and produce the expected output
- Four-eyes review of any code changes by our specialists
- Segregation of duties whereby there is a separation of responsibilities of key processes between more than one person, based on their area of expertise
- Segregation of IT environments that protects the integrity and availability of the environment producing the data and allows for testing of new data in terms of quality and robustness before it is used in a production environment
- Sanity test whereby the functionality and output of the system is tested to ensure that it works as expected
- Review of the data and insights generated by said data by our analysts/specialists

Furthermore, to the extent possible, data reported by companies, regulatory authorities and/or non-governmental organisations are prioritised over data from data providers' estimation models. This is done in order to minimize the reliance on third party estimation efforts which in turns improves the overall quality of the data we use as input in our investment processes.

The external data providers and other data sources are assessed on an ongoing basis for data quality, coverage and other attributes.

c) How is data processed?

As described above, the data used partly derives from external data providers that provide raw data on issuer level. We seek to obtain as much and the most granular company data possible.

The external data received is enriched with additional external data sets, it may be complemented by in-house ESG analysis of the data, and company identifiers (ISIN) are matched with the incoming raw data to companies that we can potentially invest into as well as current investee companies.

d) What proportion of data is estimated?

Although we prioritise reported data over estimated data, we do rely to some extent on estimations and on estimated data provided by our external data providers where reported data is not available or of adequate quality.

Limitations to methodologies and data

a) Are there any limitations to the methodologies and data sources used?

The fact that some proportion of the data received from external data providers may be estimated data, may be seen as a limitation to the methodologies and data sources.

Information is mainly based on historical data and may not reflect the future ESG performance or risks of the investments.

b) How do those limitations not affect the attainment of the sustainable investment objective of the financial product?

To mitigate the limitations to data sources, we have ensured to have solid due diligence measures in place in relation to external data providers. Manual verification processes is another mitigating factor.

We evaluate the quality of internal and external data on an ongoing basis, and continuously assess if new data providers can improve the analysis and models. We have also implemented a Supplier Code of Conduct for data providers to follow. Prior to a data provider being chosen as a supplier, extensive market research is conducted, and the potential data providers are assessed on issues such as: data quality, coverage, security, methodology, price, reliability and conflict of interest.

Due diligence

Description of the due diligence carried out on the underlying assets of the fund, including the internal and external controls on that due diligence.

NAM conducts due diligence reviews of all internal and external data on an ongoing basis, and continuously assesses if new data providers can improve the analysis and models. As set out above, we have also implemented a Supplier Code of Conduct for data providers to follow. Prior to a data provider being chosen as a supplier, extensive market research is conducted, and the potential data providers are assessed on issues such as data quality, coverage, security, methodology, price, reliability and conflict of interest.

When it comes to calculation of any potential alignment with the EU Taxonomy, we strive to source data directly from companies, but as self-reported data remains scarce, this may be supplemented with data sourced from third party providers. ESG data in general is continually maturing and the data coverage is improving. NAM is constantly searching the market for the data which adheres to EU regulation requirements and can be used to provide our clients with necessary reports on the products' ESG profiles.

Engagement policies

Description of the engagement policies implemented where engagement is part of the sustainable investment objective, including any management procedures applicable to sustainability-related controversies in investee companies.

On behalf of our clients, we undertakes a range of engagement activities with investee companies and corporate- and sovereign issuers in order to encourage them to improve their ESG practices, and promote a long-term approach to decision-making.

Please refer to our Engagement Policy for additional detail. Engagement Policy for NIFSA can be found [here](#) and Engagement Policy for NIM can be found [here](#).

Designated reference benchmark

Description of how an index designated as reference benchmark is aligned with the sustainable investment objective of the financial product.

The benchmark used by the fund has not been designated as a reference benchmark for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objective of the fund.

Appendix

UN sustainability Goals

The UN SDGs are a set of 17 Sustainable Development Goals adopted by the United Nations in 2015 as a call for action to end poverty, protect the planet, and ensure peace and prosperity by 2030.



Date	Version	Amendment
2022-12-31	1.0	First version of fund specific SFDR Article 10 Statement aligned with the SFDR Regulatory Technical Standards
2023-06-22	1.1	Erased reference to exclusion for nuclear energy since this screening does not apply to the fund
2023-08-31	2.0	Additional details are provided to align with the updated prospectus